

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,694	10/24/2001	Christian Radelet	9303-2	8601
20792 7:	590 11/14/2003		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			ESTRADA, ANGEL R	
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
William, IV	21021		2831	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		"SAL
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/980,694	RADELET, CHRISTIAN
	Examin r	Art Unit
The MAU DIO DATE	Angel R. Estrada	2831
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover shat with the o	correspond nce address
THE REPLY FILED 07 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli-	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the mailing of the mailing date of this Adverse.	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final rej	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. ☐ Other:	Mar a. 1 DEAN A. SUPERVISORY P	Scellar f 11/13/03 HOCHARD PATENT EXAMINER
S Patent and Trademark Office TOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Advis.		CENTER 2800 Part of Paper No. 1103
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		i ait oi i apei No. 1103

Application No.

Continuation of 5. Upon cursory review, the request for consideraton filed on October 7, 2003 does not clearly place the claims in condition for allowance. The Applicant's argues that the combination of Gittle (US 4,743,209) in view of Tribe (GB 599,354) is improper because the obviousness rejection must require a clear and particular evidence of a motivation to combine the references. However, the Examiner clearly stated a motivation to combine the references in the Office Action mailed on August 13, 2003, by substituting the fastener mechanism of Gittle with the clamp mechanism of Tribe the two cooperating closure members (33,34) can be secured without using any tools, such as a wrench. With respect to claim 26 applicant argues that the combination does not disclose a mechanism "positioned on an end of the closure member", this argument is not persuasive, because Figure 3 of Gittle clearly shows a mechanism positioned on an end of the closure member (for clarification purposes the first and second ends of the closure casing are denoted by reference numbers 36 and 43, see figure 3)